

### REMARKS

The Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, the invention of Group I, claims 1-9, for prosecution in this application. The Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications to protect the invention of Group II.

The Applicant respectfully traverses the election/restriction requirement. The Examiner has failed to establish that there is a patentable difference between the designated claims. See, for example, MPEP § 808.02. Furthermore, it would appear that a careful examination of either claim group would require a search in both subclasses 10 and 31 of class 299. Finally, the reasons proffered by the Examiner at the bottom of page 2 of the Action are mooted in view of the new claims 17-20 added above. The Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the restriction requirement and examination on the merits of all the claims.

No fee is believed due for this submission. Should any fees be required, the Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (order no. 18155.0006).

Respectfully Submitted,



Dated: Nov. 20, 2002

Sean P. O'Hanlon  
Reg. No. 47,252

Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP  
3000 K Street, NW  
Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20007  
(202) 424-7500